

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 11/17/10

-----X
ALTVATER GESSLER-J.A. BACZEWSKI
INTERNATIONAL (USA), INC., ALTVATER
GESSLER J.A. BACZEWSKI GmbH,

Plaintiffs,

06 **CIVIL** 6510 (HB)

-against-

JUDGMENT

SOBIESKI DESTYLARNIA S.A. ADAMBA
IMPORTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
INTERNET WINES & SPIRITS, INC. and
DOES 1-20,

Defendants.
-----X

The issues in the above-entitled action having been brought on for trial before the Honorable Harold Baer, Jr., United States District Judge, and a jury on November 8, 2010, and at the conclusion of the trial on November 12, 2010, the jury having returned a verdict in favor of defendants, it is,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: That the complaint is dismissed.

DATED: New York, New York
November 16, 2010

SO ORDERED

[Handwritten Signature]
11/16/10

USDJ

RUBY J. KRAJICK

Clerk of Court

BY:

[Handwritten Signature]

Deputy Clerk

OK
Ch 8
5:20 PM
11-12-10
[Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALTVATER GESSLER – J.A.
BACZEWSKI INTERNATIONAL (USA)
INC., and ALTVATER GESSLER –
J.A. BACZEWSKI GmbH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SOBIESKI DESTYLARNIA S.A.,
ADAMBA IMPORTS INTERNATIONAL,
INC., INTERNET WINES & SPIRITS, INC.,
and DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:

06 CIV 6510 (HB) ECF Case

JURY VERDICT FORM

Please answer the following questions:

UNFAIR COMPETITION / FALSE ADVERTISING

Question 1: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Sobieski Destylarnia S.A.'s ("Sobieski") actions constituted unfair competition / false advertising?

Yes

No

Question 2: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Adamba's actions constituted unfair competition / false advertising?

Yes

No

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

Question 3: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Sobieski's actions constituted Trademark Infringement?

Yes _____
No

Question 4: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Adamba's actions constituted Trademark Infringement?

Yes _____
No

DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES

Question 5: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Sobieski's actions constituted Deceptive Trade Practices?

Yes _____
No

Question 6: Did plaintiffs prove by the preponderance of the evidence that Adamba's actions constituted Deceptive Trade Practices?

Yes _____
No

DAMAGES

Question 7: As to Sobieski, state the amount of compensatory damages, if any, that the plaintiffs are entitled to.

Amount: \$ N/A

Question 8: As to Adamba, state the amount of compensatory damages, if any, that plaintiffs are entitled to.

Amount: \$ N/A

Question 9: Are punitive damages appropriate?

Yes _____

No ✓

When you have completed the questionnaire signal the U.S. Marshal and report your verdict to the Court.

November 12, 2010



Foreperson

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Altvaeten Gesslen - J.A.
Baczewski International (USA) INC
and Altvaeten Gesslen - J.A. Baczewski
GmbH.

Subieski Destylarnia S.A., Adamba
Imports International Inc., Internat
Wines + Spirits Inc. + Does 1-20

06cv 6510 (HB)
CASE NUMBER

JUDGE HAROLD BAER, JR.

(FULL TITLE OF CASE- IF NECESSARY USE OTHER SIDE)

APPEARANCES: (include firm name and telephone #)

FOR PLAINTIFF: Babji Gargan + Coxa by Christopher DeMingon
25 Prospect St Middletown NJ 07560 973-539-6500

FOR DEFENDANT: Stank + Stank Ronald Dalgault 180 Maiden Lane
NYC 10038 212-806-5400 + Scott Zarin 1790 Broadway NYC 10019 212-530-3131

TYPE OF TRIAL: [] JURY or [] NON-JURY BEGUN ON: 11-8-10
con't 11/9/10, 11/10/10 and concluded on 11/12/10

Vendict for Deft's - See Vendict Sheet
Attached

(AN EXTRACT OF THE MINUTES)

CLERK Dennis Swain

COURT REPORTER Ed Williams

(IF NECESSARY USE OTHER SIDE)